UNITED STATES DISTRICT O		
United States of America,)))	File No. 16-CR-002 (KES/VLD)
Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	Minneapolis, Minnesota December 16, 2015
Khaalid Adam Abdulkadir,)	3:00 p.m.
Defendant.)	
BEFORE THE HONORABI UNITED STATES DISTRICT		

APPEARANCES

For the Plaintiff: U.S. Attorney's Office JOHN DOCHERTY, AUSA 600 U.S. Courthouse 300 South Fourth Street

Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415

For the Defendant: Robins, Kaplan, LLP

CHRISTOPHER W. MADEL, ESQ.

AARON R. THOM, ESQ. 2800 LaSalle Plaza 800 LaSalle Avenue

Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402

Court Reporter: LORI A. SIMPSON, RMR-CRR

> 1005 U.S. Courthouse 300 South Fourth Street

Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer.

1	I N D E X	DA CE
2	VADYM VINETSKY	PAGE
3	Direct Examination by Mr. Docherty Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Madel	14 21
4	Resumed Direct Examination by Mr. Docherty Cross Examination by Mr. Madel	22 29
5	Redirect Examination by Mr. Docherty Recross Examination by Mr. Madel	46 52
6		
7		
8	GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS 1	REC'D 21
9	2	26
10	DEFENSE EXHIBITS	REC'D
11	1	40
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	IN OPEN COURT
3	(Defendant present)
4	THE COURT: Okay. This is the United States vs.
5	Khaalid Adam Abdulkadir. And I apologize if I have
6	mispronounced your name. Am I anywhere close, Mr. Madel?
7	MR. MADEL: You are, Your Honor. You are
8	surprisingly close.
9	THE COURT: Let's get everybody's appearance on
10	the record. For the government?
11	MR. DOCHERTY: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
12	Assistant U.S. Attorney John Docherty appearing for the
13	United States. Also at counsel table is Special Agent Vadym
14	Vinetsky from the Federal Bureau of Investigation.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MR. MADEL: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Chris
17	Madel and Aaron Thom for the defendant, who is present.
18	THE COURT: Okay. So we're here for a preliminary
19	and detention hearing, correct?
20	MR. DOCHERTY: Correct, Your Honor, that's my
21	understanding.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Docherty, you're up.
23	MR. DOCHERTY: Your Honor, we are here for a
24	preliminary and a detention hearing today. My
25	understanding, though, is that the defense has certain

1 argument that they may wish to make at this time. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Madel. 3 MR. MADEL: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes, the first 4 is the issue that I previewed in my e-mail to Your Honor. 5 I first got assigned to this matter yesterday and it's my understanding on December 14th Chief Judge Riley 6 7 assigned this matter to a United States District Court judge for the District of South Dakota, Karen E. Schreier, for all 8 9 proceedings with respect to this matter. 10 One of the allegations in this case is that my 11 client has threatened at least the FBI as well as a federal 12 judge in this district. I believe that Chief Judge Riley's 13 order requiring -- or stating another judge should be here 14 is essentially saying recusal of this district with respect 15 to all proceedings in this case. 16 So that's why I stated in my e-mail that we would 17 respectfully request and we will move for all judges in the

So that's why I stated in my e-mail that we would respectfully request and we will move for all judges in the District of Minnesota to be recused from this case and that all proceedings be under Judge Schreier.

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Alternatively with respect to that, our other request -- we're fine if Your Honor denies that and wants to go forward today. What we would propose is that we would go forward with the probable cause hearing, but we would ask under 3142(f)(2) a continuance with respect to the detention hearing.

2.2

We've learned of a number of witnesses for my client that I think are relevant to that and I think if you read probation's report with respect to this matter, this is -- even in Category III, over 50 percent of those clients appear even according to probation's statistics. I think we would argue that this is not a Category III case, but we need to get those witnesses to the hearing. And we would also request discovery under Rule 26(2).

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Docherty, do you have any position on either of those requests?

MR. DOCHERTY: Yes, Your Honor, I have something briefly to say on both of them.

First of all as to recusal, I do not read Chief

Judge Riley's order in any way like Mr. Madel does. It

simply assigns Judge Schreier to preside over this case.

28 U.S.C. 455, the recusal statute, says — the standard is

general. It states that a judge should recuse himself when

his impartiality could reasonably be questioned.

The tweets in this matter, which are an attachment to the complaint, talk about -- do not name a judge in this district. However, there is one judge who is presiding over ISIL cases and before that al-Shabaab cases in this district and so that, it would seem to me, is the victim of this alleged crime and that would not be all of the judges in this district.

1 With respect to a continuance, Your Honor, if 2 Mr. Madel is saying that he needs more time to prepare, we 3 are not going to stand in the way of that. However, we do 4 oppose the proposal to separate detention and probable 5 cause. 6 This is a case involving threats, therefore the 7 evidence concerning probable cause is very much intertwined with the evidence of risk of harm to the community. It 8 9 would be -- if we are going to present that evidence, we 10 should present it once and not twice. 11 So as I say, I'm not going to get in the way of a 12 defense attorney who says that additional time is needed in 13 order to properly represent his client, but I do say that we 14 should not proceed with anything today if that is the 15 decision, is to have a continuance. We should continue the 16 whole thing. 17 Thank you. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Here's what we're going to 19 do -- anything else, Mr. Madel, you want to say in response 20 to that? 21 MR. MADEL: Yes, I do. Thank you, Your Honor. 2.2 Risk of harm to the community is not a factor under 3142. The standard here is whether or not there's a serious risk 23 24 that such person will flee or a serious risk that such

person will obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice,

25

2.2

threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror.

I will also reserve argument with respect to whether or not there was one federal judge that presided over the ISIS case. There were several federal judges that presided over the ISIS case, Your Honor I believe being one of them that has done that from time to time, and I think that the record there speaks for itself.

THE COURT: Hold on. I'm flummoxed by your contention that 3142 doesn't have a risk to the community factor. So as I understand it --

MR. MADEL: I am reading -- Your Honor, I can point you to what I was reading, 3142(f) sub 2. Unless the government is contending that this is a crime of violence, which we will brief, then you have to go under sub (2) where it says, "Upon motion of the attorney for the government...involves (A) a serious risk that such person will flee; or (B) a serious risk that such person will obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice," et cetera.

THE COURT: So where does, from your perspective, the 3142(e) come in that we can order detention? "If, after a hearing pursuant to the provisions of subsection (f)..., the judicial officer finds that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the person as required and the safety of any other person and

2.2

the community, such judicial officer shall order the detention of the person before trial."

MR. MADEL: Upon (1) or (2). It says, "(1) upon motion of the attorney for the government in a case that involves," and then there's (A) through (E). And then there's sub (2), "Upon motion...for the government or upon the judicial officer's own motion in a case that involves," and then there's subsection (A) and (B) that I just spoke of. Unless the government satisfies those, you don't get to the factors with respect to danger to the community, et cetera.

And my point is that just to come into court and say that this defendant for whatever reason is a danger to the community -- for example, if he was charged with some sort of a misdemeanor violation on some federal land, you can't come in under (1) or (2) and get a danger to the community because you still have to satisfy subsections (1) and (2) before you get to those factors.

THE COURT: And what is meant by the phrase or the word "involves"? In other words, does the government have to actually make a showing of that before they even get the hearing or it has to involve it, meaning they allege it?

MR. MADEL: Well, here's where I think the government and I would respectfully disagree. Involves a crime of violence and a threat of violence, I think under

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the case law, you know, there's a split with respect to whether or not threats can constitute that and you have to make a determination as to whether it's a real cognizable threat with respect to whether it could be carried out and whether or not the defendant has the means, the wherewithal, was intending, was preparing, that sort of thing. That would go into whether or not that is a crime of violence. Ι would respectfully submit to Your Honor that it's not. And I think that under the circumstances particularly of this case it's not, especially when you talk about for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Docherty, any comments on whether --MR. DOCHERTY: Yes, Your Honor, I have something to say about that. Your Honor, I think first of all we need to take the statute as a whole and not as individual parts. 3142(e), as the Court has pointed out, says that, "If, after a hearing pursuant to the provisions of subsection (f)..., the judicial officer finds that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the person as required and the safety of any other person and the community, such judicial officer shall order the detention..." Going over to subpart (f), it begins -- before the

2.2

split or dichotomy that Mr. Madel has spoken quite a bit about, the very opening of 3142(f) is similar to 3142(e).

"The judicial officer shall hold a hearing to determine whether any condition or combination of conditions set forth in subsection (c) of this section will reasonably assure the appearance of such person as required and the safety of any other person and the community." Even if we accept

Mr. Madel's reliance upon the dichotomy that follows, there's these two statements of the very familiar standard about danger to the community.

Yes, this certainly is a case that involves violence. It is a case that involves threats of violence and it is, as the affidavit of Special Agent Vinetsky in support of the complaint points out, bound up with allegations of international terrorism. So, yes, it involves a crime of violence.

And number two, we shouldn't even really need to get there in terms of deciding what the applicable standard is because by the time we get to this dichotomy, the familiar standard that we all use in most of these detention hearings has been stated by the statute two times.

THE COURT: Right, but it does limit the kinds of cases in which you get to even have the hearing and I guess my question is: And maybe you've just answered it. It's your contention that this is a crime of violence; is that

```
1
       correct?
2
                 MR. DOCHERTY: Yes, it is. It involves a crime of
 3
       violence.
 4
                 THE COURT: Okay. And is it also your contention
 5
       or how do I read -- I'm reading now in (f)(1)(A), "A crime
       of violence, a violation of Section 1591, or an offense
 6
 7
       listed in Section 2332b(q)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of
 8
       imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed."
 9
                 That ten years or more only refers to the 2332
10
       cases or any of these crimes of violence or 1591 as well?
11
                 MR. DOCHERTY: I would say that I don't know the
12
       answer to that, but I would also say that it does not matter
13
       because the crime charged in this case -- and I will just
14
       turn to it so that I get it exactly right.
15
                 MR. MADEL: It's ten years or more.
16
                 MR. DOCHERTY: It's ten years.
17
                 THE COURT: Okay. Have I heard everybody on the
18
       question of whether we're even going to have a detention
19
       hearing?
20
                 MR. DOCHERTY: Well, if there are unanswered
21
       questions, I want to address them, but otherwise I've said
2.2
       what I want to say.
23
                 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Madel?
24
                 MR. MADEL: And, again, Your Honor, we would
25
       request a continuance of the detention hearing. We would
```

2.2

like to go forward with the probable cause hearing. I would respectfully disagree with respect to whether or not these matters are so intertwined that you can't hear one without the other.

I would submit, based upon the ruling that we got in the <u>United States vs. Said</u> case, that the probable cause here is nonexistent, especially under one of the arguments that was just made with respect to that Statute 115. So I don't think -- I think if you hear this testimony, I don't think fairly under 115 there has been a 115 violation charged that's been stated here.

THE COURT: All right. So it appears to me that the government is entitled to a detention hearing under 3142 inasmuch as it appears to me that in light of the government's description of the charges, that this does involve a crime of violence and whether it has to be a crime of violence that has a penalty of a maximum of ten years or more or not is immaterial since this one does. So we're going forward with the detention hearing.

But first with regard to defendant's request to continue, that request is at this moment denied. We're going forward with the preliminary hearing, at the conclusion of which -- I take it you just have one witness, Mr. Docherty, on the --

MR. DOCHERTY: Correct, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: And then I have also before me two
2	reports from the Probation and Pretrial Services Office.
3	One is dated December the 11th and the other is dated
4	December 15th. Does everybody have those?
5	MR. DOCHERTY: The government does, Your Honor.
6	MR. MADEL: Yes, Your Honor, we have them.
7	THE COURT: All right. And other than the witness
8	on the preliminary hearing, does the government have any
9	other witnesses on detention?
10	MR. DOCHERTY: No, Your Honor. As I said, the two
11	are going to be presented simultaneously.
12	THE COURT: Once we're completed with the
13	testimony on the preliminary hearing, if I conclude that
14	there is probable cause, then I will listen to you again,
15	Mr. Madel, to tell me exactly what it is you want to present
16	that you can't do today and then we'll find a date and time
17	for you to do that.
18	MR. MADEL: Sounds good, Your Honor. Thank you.
19	THE COURT: All right. With that but we're not
20	closing the record in any way. So I will consider
21	everything that I am hearing today and whatever you present
22	on the ultimate issue if that becomes the issue.
23	MR. MADEL: Understood, Your Honor. Thank you.
24	THE COURT: Mr. Docherty, call your witness.
25	MR. DOCHERTY: Your Honor, the United States calls

```
1
       Vadym Vinetsky.
2
                 THE COURT: Mr. Vinetsky, do you want to come
 3
       forward and get sworn in, please, sir. Raise your right
 4
       hand.
 5
           (Witness sworn.)
 6
                 THE COURT: Have a seat. State your name and
 7
       spell your last name for the court reporter, please.
 8
       Actually, spell both your first and last name for the court
 9
       reporter, please.
10
                 THE WITNESS: Sure. My name is Vadym Vinetsky.
11
       Vadym, that's V-a-d-y-m. Vinetsky, V-i-n-e-t-s-k-y.
                             (Vadym Vinetsky)
12
13
                            DIRECT EXAMINATION
14
       BY MR. DOCHERTY:
15
       Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Vinetsky. Could you tell His Honor,
16
       please, where you work and what you do there.
17
       A. Your Honor, I am a special agent with the FBI. I've
18
       been employed by the FBI since February of 2010. From
19
       February 2010 until June of 2014 I was an intelligence
20
       analyst within the FBI. From June 2014 until currently I'm
21
       a special agent assigned to the FBI in the Minneapolis field
2.2
       office.
23
       Q. And are you assigned to any particular squad within the
24
       Minneapolis field office?
       A. Your Honor, I'm assigned to the Joint Terrorism Task
25
```

- 1 Force, the JTTF.
- 2 Q. What sorts of cases do they work on?
- 3 A. They investigate criminal violations related to
- 4 terrorism activity.
- 5 Q. Have you been involved professionally in the case that
- 6 has us in court today here, United States vs. Khaalid
- 7 Abdulkadir?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. Could you summarize, please, what it is that you have
- 10 done in that case.
- 11 A. I've reviewed the case file, I have spoken to other
- 12 | fellow agents, and I authored the affidavit for the
- 13 complaint.
- 14 Q. So you actually went before the magistrate and swore out
- 15 the complaint?
- 16 A. I did.
- 17 Q. Now, before we start on the facts of this particular
- 18 case, just by way of some background, are you familiar with
- 19 | a social network called Twitter?
- 20 A. I am.
- 21 O. And tweets?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Okay. And retweeting?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Let's take those up in turn. What's Twitter?

- 1 A. Twitter is a social networking application that can be
- 2 used on various devices: cell phones, tablets, webtalks,
- 3 computers.
- Q. And what sorts of -- these tweets that are sent on
- 5 Twitter, what are the tweets?
- 6 A. Tweets are short messages, about 140 characters in
- 7 length.
- 8 Q. And then lastly, what does it mean to retweet something?
- 9 A. I interpret a tweet as a post. To retweet something is
- 10 to repost that information.
- 11 Q. All right. So to take someone else's tweet and push it
- on further down?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Agent Vinetsky, I want now to direct your attention to
- 15 Wednesday of last week. That would be December the 9th.
- 16 | Was there an arrest in a terrorism case here in Minneapolis
- 17 | that day?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Who was it who got arrested on December the 9th?
- 20 A. Mr. Abdirizak Warsame was arrested on December 9th.
- 21 Q. And do you remember what the allegations were, in a
- 22 sentence, against Mr. Warsame, what he was arrested for?
- 23 A. Conspiracy to provide material support to ISIL.
- Q. And just for the record, what is ISIL?
- 25 A. ISIL is a designated foreign terrorist organization.

- 1 Q. About what time of day was Mr. Warsame taken into
- 2 custody?
- 3 A. Approximately 7:00 p.m. Central Time.
- 4 Q. And following that arrest, were there some tweets that
- 5 were posted?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And you know the tweets that we're talking about, the
- 8 ones in this case?
- 9 A. I do.
- 10 Q. Okay. In which Twitter account were those tweets
- 11 posted? Do you remember the name of it?
- 12 A. I do.
- 13 Q. Could you tell us, please.
- 14 A. It was @kabdulkadir14.
- 15 Q. And tweets can be public or private; is that accurate?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. They can be. And these tweets, were they public or were
- 18 they private?
- 19 A. These were public tweets.
- 20 Q. So no search warrant or anything like that needed to be
- 21 used to get at them; is that accurate?
- 22 A. That's accurate.
- Q. Did law enforcement obtain a screen shot of those
- 24 tweets?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Can you summarize for us how it was that law enforcement
- 2 got that screen shot.
- 3 A. Through our confidential informant.
- 4 Q. And can you elaborate on that. The confidential
- 5 informant, what, saw the tweets or something and what
- 6 happened next?
- 7 A. Sure. I learned about the tweets Thursday morning by
- 8 talking to a fellow agent. He made me aware that a
- 9 confidential informant was on Twitter on Wednesday evening
- and was able to capture the two threatening tweets and then
- 11 the agent documented that in a report.
- 12 Q. And before that had there also been tweets on this
- 13 Twitter account?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. All right. And this was @kabdulkadir14; is that
- 16 | correct?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Is there a photograph on that next to those tweets?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Are you familiar -- I mean, before this hearing today
- 21 | are you familiar with the appearance of Mr. Abdulkadir?
- 22 A. I was familiar.
- 23 Q. How did you obtain that familiarity?
- 24 A. His driver's license photo.
- Q. When you look at the photograph next to these tweets and

- 1 his driver's license photo, who do you conclude the
- 2 photograph on the Twitter feed is?
- 3 A. Khaalid Abdulkadir.
- 4 Q. Later on that evening after the tweets in question, was
- 5 there a tweet that involved a telephone number?
- 6 A. There was a tweet involving a telephone number.
- 7 Q. And did that also come from @kabdulkadir14?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And can you summarize, please, what was that tweet that
- involved the telephone number. What did it say? I know you
- 11 | probably can't quote it, but.
- 12 A. Sure. In summary it was DM, which stands for direct
- message, or call me on a particular number.
- 14 Q. And that particular number, you're not saying it out
- 15 | loud, but it was a telephone number?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Was it a telephone number known to the FBI?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. How is it known to the FBI? How did the FBI learn about
- 20 this number?
- 21 A. The FBI reviewed a subpoena return from Facebook and
- 22 that number was associated with Khaalid Abdulkadir.
- Q. So this was a subpoena for Mr. Abdulkadir's Facebook?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And on the Facebook -- is it a profile page? Am I using

- 1 the right term? Is there a profile page on a Facebook
- 2 account?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And on that profile page are there things about the
- 5 person, such as where they live and phone numbers and
- 6 e-mails and things like that?
- 7 A. Typically, yes.
- 8 Q. And is that where this phone number was?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Okay. Where was this phone number?
- 11 A. This is the phone number that appeared in the subpoena
- 12 return.
- 13 | Q. Okay. Thank you. And that was sent to Facebook?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. The subpoena, I mean, was sent to Facebook.
- 16 MR. DOCHERTY: If I could approach, Your Honor?
- 17 THE COURT: Sure.
- 18 BY MR. DOCHERTY:
- 19 Q. Agent Vinetsky, I just handed you a single piece of
- 20 paper. Does that appear to you to be the screen shot that
- 21 you've been testifying about for the last few minutes?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Is that an accurate copy of that screen shot?
- 24 A. Yes, it is.
- MR. DOCHERTY: Your Honor, move the admission of

```
1
       Exhibit -- Government's Exhibit Number 1 for purposes of
2
       this hearing.
 3
                 THE COURT: Any objection?
 4
                 MR. MADEL: May I voir dire, Your Honor?
 5
                 THE COURT: Sure.
                          VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
 6
 7
       BY MR. MADEL:
 8
           Who gave you this?
 9
       Α.
           John Docherty.
10
           The screen shot was given to you by AUSA John Docherty?
11
       Α.
           I mean, how did we originally obtain this?
12
       Q.
          Yes.
         Confidential informant.
13
       Α.
14
       Q. Who?
15
                 MR. DOCHERTY: Objection, Your Honor.
16
                 THE COURT: Sustained.
17
                 MR. MADEL: Then, Your Honor, I object. It's not
18
       authentic. I mean, how do we know that this was actually
19
       this Twitter page? These were deleted tweets. So how do we
20
       possibly know that this wasn't created by the confidential
21
       source if I don't even know who it is?
2.2
                 THE COURT: Okay. The objection is overruled.
23
       I understand the testimony, this witness has testified that
24
       the document that Mr. Docherty just handed him is an
25
       accurate copy of tweets that were given to this witness by a
```

- CASE 10:1160-614:000002 ** KES-VLD Document 53 Filed 01/13/16 Page 22 of 65 22 1 confidential informant. Have I correctly stated --2 MR. DOCHERTY: Yes, Your Honor, and then there was 3 other testimony regarding attributing this particular 4 Twitter account to this particular defendant, such as the 5 phone number and some other things I'll get to in a minute. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Next question. 7 RESUMED DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. DOCHERTY: 8 9 Q. Agent Vinetsky, do you see on this Government's 10 Exhibit 1, which is now up on the screen, do you see a name 11 up at the top in boldface? 12 I do. Α. O. And what name is that? 13 14 A. Khalid Abdulkadir. 15 Q. And, again, whose photograph is to the left? Khaalid Abdulkadir. Α. 17 Q. Now, also across the top it says, "Montana From OeO
- 16
- 18 Retweeted." Do you see that?
- 19 I do. Α.
- 20 What does that mean in Twitter? 0.
- 21 Α. He reposted the message.
- 2.2 Q. So someone named Montana From OeO has reposted this
- 23 message already; is that correct?
- 24 This tweet, yes. Α.
- 25 Q. And to your understanding, is there a limit to the

- 1 number of times that a particular message can be retweeted?
- 2 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 3 | Q. I want to direct your attention further back. We've
- 4 been talking about December the 9th of last week really, but
- 5 further back than that, back in May were there -- do you
- 6 know a man named Abdi Nur?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 O. Who is Abdi Nur?
- 9 A. Abdi Nur is a young man from Minnesota that traveled to
- 10 Syria and joined ISIS or ISIL.
- 11 Q. And once he reached Syria and joined ISIL, did Abdi Nur
- reach back into Minnesota and communicate with people here?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Did the defendant, Khaalid Abdulkadir, attempt to
- 15 | communicate with Abdi Nur?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. When you say that, how is it that you know that this
- 18 defendant tried to communicate with Abdi Nur?
- 19 A. Because of Facebook.
- 20 Q. And on that Facebook -- and this is the Facebook that
- 21 | you talked about sending a subpoena in on; is that correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. The same account?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Were there communications -- attempted communications

- 1 from Mr. Khaalid Abdulkadir to Abdi Nur?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Can you identify or describe for the Court, please, sort
- 4 of the sum and substance of those communications.
- 5 A. Yes. Abdulkadir and two other identified individuals
- 6 were ready to travel and they were seeking guidance from
- 7 Abdi Nur.
- 8 Q. Did Abdi Nur reply as far as you or the FBI generally is
- 9 aware?
- 10 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 11 Q. Now, also at about this same time frame, on the 9th of
- 12 May was there a court appearance in this building concerning
- 13 a different terrorism case?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And was this a case involving some arrests that had been
- 16 made earlier, on the 19th of April?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Can you tell us how many people were arrested on the
- 19 19th of April.
- 20 A. Six people.
- 21 Q. How many were arrested here in Minnesota?
- 22 A. Four were arrested in Minnesota and two were arrested in
- 23 | San Diego, California.
- 24 Q. And on May the 9th was one of those defendants having a
- 25 court appearance?

A. Yes.

1

- Q. Was Mr. Abdulkadir in attendance at that hearing?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Following that hearing was there some interaction
- 5 between Mr. Khaalid Abdulkadir, the defendant here today,
- and a deputy United States marshal?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Could you describe for His Honor, please, what that
- 9 interaction was like.
- 10 A. The deputy U.S. marshal approached Abdulkadir and
- requested to interview him on an unrelated matter.
- 12 Abdulkadir refused to interview. Later that day the
- 13 U.S. marshal went downstairs to the café on the ground floor
- 14 level of this building. He sat next to the window. The
- 15 | window was about floor to ceiling in size overlooking the
- 16 plaza of the federal courthouse.
- 17 Abdulkadir and other unidentified males were
- 18 outside on the plaza. They noticed the U.S. marshal sitting
- in the café through the window, approached the U.S. marshal,
- 20 took out their phones, and it appeared that they were
- 21 | filming or taking pictures of the marshal.
- 22 Q. And when that happened --
- 23 THE COURT: Let me interrupt if I can. Through
- 24 | the window or they were in the cafeteria with them?
- 25 THE WITNESS: Through the window, Your Honor.

1 BY MR. DOCHERTY: 2 Q. How did the U.S. marshal -- or the deputy U.S. marshal, 3 excuse me, react to this? 4 He took out his phone and took a picture of the 5 individuals taking his picture. 6 Q. And have you seen that picture? 7 A. I have. 8 MR. DOCHERTY: If I could approach, Your Honor? 9 THE COURT: Sure. 10 BY MR. DOCHERTY: 11 Q. Agent Vinetsky, I have just handed you a single piece of 12 paper. Is that the photograph that was taken by the deputy U.S. marshal? 13 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Is that an accurate reproduction of that photograph? 16 A. Yes. 17 MR. DOCHERTY: Offer Exhibit 2 for purposes of 18 today's hearing, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Any objection? 20 MR. MADEL: None. THE COURT: Okay. Exhibit 2 will be received. 21 2.2 BY MR. DOCHERTY: 23 Q. Looking here at Exhibit Number 2, do you recognize the 24 building in the background, Agent Vinetsky? 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Okay. And does that help you know that this is on the
- 2 plaza in front of this building?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Is the defendant, Khaalid Abdulkadir, in this
- 5 photograph?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And whereabouts in -- there's three people in the
- 8 photograph. Can you tell us which one he is, please.
- 9 A. Yes. He's in the center.
- 10 Q. Agent Vinetsky, going even farther back, back to January
- of this year, are you familiar with a federal fugitive by
- 12 the name of Mohamed Abdullahi Hassan?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Does Mohamed Abdullahi Hassan have a nickname that he
- 15 uses?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. What does he go by?
- 18 A. Miski.
- 19 Q. And can you tell us -- just as you did for Abdi Nur,
- 20 could you please tell us who Mohamed Abdullahi Hassan, a/k/a
- 21 Miski, is.
- 22 A. He's also a young male from Minnesota that left to
- 23 | Somalia in 2008 and joined al-Shabaab.
- 24 Q. And when you say left Minnesota --
- 25 A. Yes, left Minnesota for Somalia.

- 1 Q. And went to Somalia?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Has he been in Somalia continuously?
- 4 A. I don't know.
- 5 Q. Okay. But has he -- well, what's he been doing in
- 6 | Somalia?
- 7 A. He was part of al-Shabaab.
- 8 O. And what's al-Shabaab?
- 9 A. It's a designated foreign terrorist organization.
- 10 Q. Has Miski, Mohamed Abdullahi Hassan, reached back into
- 11 Minnesota and communicated with people who are still here?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. In January of 2015 did he communicate with the defendant
- in this case, Khaalid Abdulkadir?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And what medium did the two men use to communicate with
- 17 | each other?
- 18 A. Twitter.
- 19 Q. Can you summarize for us, please, what those Twitter
- 20 messages between Miski and the defendant were about.
- 21 A. Abdulkadir wrote to Miski saying that he wanted to get
- 22 to Sham and he asked Miski for guidance on how to get there.
- 23 Q. When you say, "Sham," what does that term mean?
- 24 A. Syria.
- 25 Q. And in response to this request for guidance on how to

- 1 get to Sham, did Miski reply? 2 A. Yes. 3 Could you tell us, again, in substance, what it was that 4 Miski said in reply to this request from Abdulkadir. 5 In summary it was get to Turkey first and then --Q. And did he indicate that assistance would be available 6 7 in Turkey? 8 A. Yes. 9 MR. DOCHERTY: That's all the questions I have on 10 direct, Your Honor. Thank you. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Madel. 12 MR. MADEL: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 CROSS EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. MADEL: 15 Agent -- it's Vinetsky, right? 16 Α. Yes. 17 I want to make sure I get that right. Everybody 18 butchers my last name too. 19 Are you the lead FBI agent with respect to the 20 investigation of the defendant in this case? 21 A. I am not. 2.2 Q. Who is? 23 A. Task Force Officer Timothy Gregg.
- from the other agents working on this in order to do an

Q. And so you've been tasked with collecting facts together

- 1 affidavit supporting the criminal complaint and the arrest
- 2 warrant, right?
- 3 A. Sure.
- 4 Q. Now, if I understand this right, you say that
- 5 Mr. Abdulkadir made two tweets that constituted legal
- 6 threats to federal officers on December 9, 2015; is that
- 7 right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And you would agree with me that in those tweets there's
- no specific federal officer that's identified, right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. There's no federal agent that's identified, right?
- 13 A. Right.
- 14 Q. There's no specific judge that's identified?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And you can't tell the Court which specific individual
- or individuals making the tweets intended to threaten; is
- 18 that right?
- 19 A. Can you repeat the question?
- 20 Q. Sure. Can you tell Magistrate Judge Noel today what
- 21 | specific person that you say that Mr. Abdulkadir intended to
- threaten through those tweets?
- 23 A. FBI agents and the judge.
- 24 Q. But can you give the judge a name of any of those
- 25 people?

- 1 A. I cannot.
- 2 Q. And has Mr. Abdulkadir ever communicated to you in any
- 3 manner that he was responsible or wrote any of these tweets?
- 4 A. I have not spoken to Abdulkadir.
- 5 Q. Has anybody from the FBI told you that Mr. Abdulkadir
- 6 said he was responsible, he wrote or he sent any -- either
- 7 one of those tweets?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. And you've asked, right?
- 10 A. Asked who?
- 11 Q. You've asked people that were on the SWAT team and with
- 12 | the FBI at the time of the arrest if he admitted to sending
- 13 the tweets, right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And they said, no, he didn't say anything, right?
- 16 A. Well, he was very verbally aggressive, but he did not
- 17 admit to tweeting that.
- 18 Q. In fact, he denied it?
- 19 A. I don't know about that.
- Q. You've seen this 302, right, the statement?
- 21 A. Who authored the 302, sir?
- 22 Q. Sure. It appears to be by Carson D. Green dated
- December 14, 2015. Have you read it?
- 24 A. Yes, I have.
- 25 Q. And do you recall him stating -- I'll find it here.

- 1 "Agents then advised Abdulkadir of the reason for his
- 2 arrest, whereupon Abdulkadir emphatically denied threatening
- 3 anyone." Do you recall reading that?
- 4 A. I don't recall reading that.
- 5 Q. Did you ever talk to Mr. Green about how Mr. Abdulkadir
- 6 emphatically denied threatening anyone?
- 7 A. No, I have not.
- 8 Q. So this is the first time you're learning about that?
- 9 A. I have read that document, but I don't recall seeing
- 10 that information.
- 11 Q. Okay. Do you want to read it again?
- 12 A. If you would like me to, I can.
- 13 | Q. Sure.
- MR. MADEL: May I approach, Your Honor?
- 15 THE COURT: Sure.
- 16 BY MR. MADEL:
- 17 Q. The portion that I was just talking about is right there
- 18 (indicating).
- 19 (Pause.)
- 20 A. Okay.
- 21 | Q. Does that refresh your recollection that you learned as
- 22 part of your investigation with respect to this case that
- 23 Mr. Abdulkadir told government agents he emphatically denied
- 24 making any of these threats?
- 25 A. If that's what it says in this document, then that's

- 1 correct.
- 2 Q. So what have you done to investigate the Internet
- 3 protocol address for any of these tweets?
- 4 A. I don't know.
- 5 Q. Do you know if anybody from the FBI has investigated --
- 6 whether or not they looked at the Internet protocol address
- 7 for any of these tweets and tried to trace it back to
- 8 Mr. Abdulkadir?
- 9 A. I don't know that information, sir.
- 10 Q. Do you have his phone?
- 11 A. Can you repeat that question?
- 12 Q. Sure. Does the government -- is the government in the
- possession of Mr. Abdulkadir's telephone?
- 14 A. Yes. It was seized.
- 15 Q. Have you searched that?
- 16 A. I don't know.
- 17 Q. Do you know how many people know Mr. Abdulkadir's
- 18 password for Twitter?
- 19 A. No, I don't.
- 20 Q. Do you know what his password is?
- 21 A. I do not.
- 22 Q. So am I correct, then, that your sole basis for linking
- 23 Mr. Abdulkadir to these tweets is that picture of him next
- 24 to the tweets?
- 25 A. There were other tweets that were very critical of law

- 1 enforcement, FBI and police, as well.
- 2 Q. I understand.
- 3 A. Coupled with a phone number that was also posted.
- 4 Q. And was the phone number posted on Twitter?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And where was it posted on Twitter?
- 7 A. It was on his Twitter page.
- 8 Q. And do you have a copy of that that you can show the
- 9 Court?
- 10 A. No, I don't.
- 11 Q. Do you have a copy of that anywhere?
- 12 A. It has been deleted since.
- 13 Q. Well, then how do you know that it was posted in the
- 14 first place?
- 15 A. Through talking to other agents that have viewed the
- 16 profile.
- 17 Q. So other agents saw this, but didn't print it out or
- 18 | didn't capture it in any way?
- 19 A. I don't know if it's in our system or not. I haven't.
- 20 Q. So you don't know whether or not there is a piece of
- 21 paper, electronic or otherwise, that actually has this phone
- 22 number out there that is in the possession of the U.S.
- 23 | Government right now; is that right?
- 24 A. That document exists. I don't know where it exactly is
- 25 in the database.

- 1 Q. Okay. But it wasn't -- you didn't deem it important
- 2 enough to attach to your affidavit to tie it to my client,
- 3 right?
- 4 (Pause.)
- 5 Q. Correct?
- 6 A. I don't know.
- 7 Q. But it's not attached to your affidavit?
- 8 A. It's not attached.
- 9 Q. So you had it. You could have attached it. You chose
- 10 not to?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And instead we're just going to have to go on your
- 13 say-so that it exists, right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And your say-so is that you talked to somebody else that
- 16 | saw it?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And those other agents that have it, their names are
- 19 what?
- 20 A. I don't know. There are multiple agents on my squad
- 21 that have seen it.
- 22 Q. So you can't identify the name of the agents that have
- 23 that tweet with Mr. Abdulkadir's phone number; is that
- 24 right?
- 25 A. Like I mentioned before, there are a lot of agents on my

- 1 squad. I have seen that document. I just don't know where
- 2 it's filed.
- 3 Q. I understand that, sir. And there's a lot of people in
- 4 my law firm. I don't know all their names either, but I do
- 5 some people's names. And what I'm asking is: Can you
- 6 identify one person that is in possession at the U.S.
- 7 Government anywhere of a tweet where that phone number that
- 8 would draw a link back to Mr. Abdulkadir exists? Can you
- 9 give me one name?
- 10 A. Yes. Special Agent Jeffrey Moniz.
- 11 Q. And anybody else?
- 12 A. I don't know.
- Q. So Mr. Moniz is in possession of a tweet that has a
- phone number that is linked back to Mr. Abdulkadir?
- 15 A. Yes, a screen capture of that.
- 16 Q. A screen capture. Okay. So now what we have, we have
- 17 | two things that, if I understand this correctly, that you
- 18 say link these two tweets back to Mr. Abdulkadir. Number
- one is his picture next to the tweets and there's other
- 20 tweets in there with his picture, right?
- 21 (Pause.)
- 22 | Q. Is that right?
- 23 A. Can you repeat the question, please?
- 24 Q. Sure. There's other tweets with his picture on his
- 25 Twitter page?

- 1 A. Yeah.
- 2 Q. And then number two would be this phone number that I'm
- 3 assuming is Mr. Abdulkadir's phone number; is that right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Is there anything else that you have that links these
- 6 two tweets back to Mr. Abdulkadir?
- 7 A. No, not to my knowledge.
- 8 Q. How difficult is it for you to create a Twitter page?
- 9 A. I don't know.
- 10 Q. Have you ever had a Twitter account?
- 11 A. I have a Twitter account.
- 12 | Q. You do?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. So what did you do in order to sign up for that Twitter
- 15 | account?
- 16 A. Input your name and I can't remember what other
- information that it was asking for.
- 18 | Q. Do you recall it was basically you give your name and an
- 19 e-mail address and then you're kind of done?
- 20 A. That sounds right.
- 21 Q. And could I, Chris Madel, walk out of this room today,
- 22 go back to my office and sign up a Twitter page and put in
- 23 | Special Agent Vinetsky and put a picture up of you next to
- 24 | it and start tweeting next to your picture, theoretically?
- 25 A. Theoretically, yes.

- 1 Q. Have people done that?
- 2 A. Done what? I'm sorry.
- 3 Q. Have people spoofed other people on Twitter?
- 4 A. I don't know. I would assume so.
- 5 Q. In fact, there's a whole industry set up around that.
- 6 Are you aware of that?
- 7 A. I was not.
- 8 Q. Now, on Twitter there's a place on the first page where
- 9 it says, "Following" and then there's a number right below
- 10 that, right?
- 11 A. I don't know.
- MR. MADEL: Your Honor, may I approach?
- 13 THE COURT: Sure.
- 14 BY MR. MADEL:
- 15 Q. Showing you here what we'll call as Defense Exhibit 1,
- 16 this is that same Twitter page that you were talking about
- 17 | with AUSA Docherty, isn't it?
- 18 A. The name has been changed to Toakee Williams.
- 19 O. It wasn't Toakee Williams on December 9th?
- 20 A. I can refer to the exhibit to double-check. No, it was
- 21 still Khaalid Abdulkadir.
- 22 | Q. Do you see that right below where it says, "Toakee
- 23 | Williams" it says, "@kabdulkadir14"?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And that matches the two offending tweets that you're

- 1 talking about here, right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Do you see here where it says "Following" at the top and
- 4 it has the number 364?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. What does that mean?
- 7 A. What it means to me is that he is following 364
- 8 individuals.
- 9 Q. And then right next to "Following" are "Followers" and
- 10 it says the number 147, right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And followers are the persons that the Twitter
- accountholder intends to send his or her tweet to, right?
- 14 A. I would say that 147 people follow his account.
- 15 Q. And the person tweeting doesn't intend to send it to
- 16 their followers?
- 17 A. I can't speak to the intention of the individual.
- 18 Q. So you don't know whether or not those were the people
- 19 that a Twitter person would send to versus somebody else?
- 20 A. From my understanding, a tweet is public.
- 21 Q. Understood. So when somebody has 147 followers and they
- 22 tweet, does that show up on any other person's Twitter
- account if they're not following that person?
- 24 | THE COURT: Can I interrupt for a quick question?
- MR. MADEL: Yes.

```
1
                 THE COURT: Mr. Madel, I want to make sure the
2
       record is clear. I'm looking at some pages you've just
 3
       handed me. Is this marked as an exhibit in some fashion?
 4
                 MR. MADEL: I just used it. I thought the
 5
       government was going to use it, Your Honor, so I have not
 6
       premarked it. If it's okay, I can mark it after the
 7
       hearing, but I would call it Defense Exhibit 1 and we would
       offer it at this time.
 8
 9
                 THE COURT: Okay. Just so the record is clear,
10
       that's what you've been asking this witness about --
                 MR. MADEL: Yes.
11
                 THE COURT: -- is what you are now calling
12
       Defendant's Exhibit 1?
13
14
                 MR. MADEL: Yes.
15
                 THE COURT: Go ahead.
16
                 MR. MADEL: Do you have any objection?
17
                 MR. DOCHERTY: No.
18
                 THE COURT: Are you offering it at this time?
19
                 MR. MADEL: I just did.
20
                 THE COURT: No objection?
21
                 MR. DOCHERTY: No objection.
2.2
                 THE COURT: Defendant's Exhibit 1 is received.
23
       Next question.
24
       BY MR. MADEL:
25
       Q. So with respect to those followers, do you know if any
```

- 1 tweet that somebody makes goes to somebody else's followers
- 2 if they're not following that account?
- 3 A. I don't know.
- 4 Q. What have you done to investigate whether or not any
- 5 employee or agent of the U.S. Government was Mr. -- this
- 6 account's -- a follower of this account on December 9, 2015?
- 7 A. Can you repeat the question, please?
- 8 Q. Sure. What have you done to investigate whether any
- 9 agent of the U.S. Government was a follower of
- 10 @kabdulkadir14 on December 9, 2015?
- 11 A. I don't know the answer to that question.
- 12 Q. You don't know the answer to it or -- I asked what did
- you do. I didn't ask what somebody else did.
- 14 A. Oh. What did I do?
- 15 Q. Yeah.
- 16 A. I didn't do any of the things you just mentioned.
- 17 Q. So you don't know any of Mr. -- or the followers here to
- 18 this kabdulkadir14 are?
- 19 A. With respect to the 147 people that are following
- 20 Abdulkadir, no, I have not looked at the followers.
- 21 O. The screen shot in Government Exhibit 1 shows that it
- 22 was at 9:55 p.m.; is that right?
- 23 A. On Government Exhibit 1?
- 24 O. Yes.
- 25 A. Yes, correct.

- 1 Q. And I know we have a dispute as to whose screen shot
- 2 this is, but you can see next to the first tweet there where
- 3 it says, "More brother get locked up," that one, do you see
- 4 where it says, "@kabdulkadir14" and then there's a little
- 5 space and it says, "20m." What does that "20m" mean?
- 6 A. Which exhibit are you referring to now, sir?
- 7 Q. Government Exhibit 1. Why don't I put it up on the
- 8 screen here.
- 9 A. Okay.
- 10 Q. Do you see it?
- 11 A. Yes, I do.
- 12 Q. Do you see where here it says, "20m," right there
- 13 (indicating)?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. What does that mean?
- 16 A. To me that means 20 minutes ago.
- 17 Q. So it would be fair by looking at this, because we have
- 18 | 9:55 p.m., that that tweet was at 9:35 p.m., 20 minutes
- 19 before, right?
- 20 A. Right.
- 21 Q. And then this one is 22 minutes, so that would have been
- 22 at 9:33 p.m., right?
- 23 A. Right.
- 24 Q. Now, I noticed in your affidavit that you said that
- 25 | these were removed; is that right?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Who removed them?
- 3 A. I don't know.
- 4 Q. When were they removed?
- 5 A. I don't know.
- 6 Q. Why were they removed?
- 7 A. I don't know.
- 8 Q. You were asked some questions about this picture, which
- 9 is Government Exhibit 2, and this was the picture, I believe
- 10 you testified, of the U.S. marshal outside of the Federal
- 11 | Café downstairs, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Are you aware that before this group of young men was
- 14 videotaping the deputy U.S. marshal, that that same deputy
- 15 U.S. marshal was using his phone to videotape the group of
- 16 individuals?
- 17 A. I was not aware of that.
- 18 Q. Okay. Did you ask the deputy U.S. marshal for any
- 19 videotape that he made of these individuals in the building
- 20 or outside?
- 21 A. No, I have not asked him.
- 22 Q. Is this the first time you're learning about it?
- 23 A. About the picture or the --
- 24 Q. The videotaping.
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Now, with respect to the Miski testimony that you had, you can see by -- well, strike that.
- 3 With respect to the kabdulkadir Twitter account,
- 4 when is the first tweet that you are aware that was made
- 5 from that account?
- 6 A. The first tweet --
- 7 Q. Yes.
- 8 A. -- from that account?
- 9 Q. Yes.
- 10 A. I don't know.
- 11 Q. And if you look at Defense Exhibit 1, the very last
- page, page 6 of 6, there's a tweet there that says, "Blessed
- everyday to have ya in my life," with two hands together
- 14 praying. Do you see that?
- 15 A. I do.
- 16 Q. And that was sent from the kabdulkadir14 account on
- 17 December 1st, right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And then you can see the most recent one was somebody
- 20 retweeted, "It's crazy how they love you after your
- 21 struggle, but not when you was going through it," and that
- 22 was on December 7th, right?
- 23 A. Yeah. It looks like then --
- 24 Q. It was a retweet?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Now, if I understood you correctly, though, with respect
- 2 to the Miski communications, those occurred back in January
- 3 of 2015, so 11 months earlier?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. So is it then your contention that there were no tweets
- 6 on this account, but somebody was using that Twitter account
- 7 to direct message in January of 2015?
- 8 A. Can you repeat the question, please?
- 9 Q. Sure. I'm interested to know if the government knows
- was somebody else using this account from January 2015
- 11 | through December 1, 2015. Do you have any activity other
- 12 | than that direct messaging with Miski?
- 13 A. I'm aware of search warrants being done on that Twitter
- 14 account. I can't tell you the number of search warrants.
- 15 Q. The communications, then, from the -- the person from
- 16 this account is saying that they want to go to Sham, which
- 17 | you testified was Syria?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And then you testified that the person communicated
- 20 back, "Just get yourself to T." You said it was Turkey,
- 21 right?
- 22 A. That's what I take it to mean.
- 23 Q. So you took "Just get yourself to T," you meant -- that
- 24 automatically to you meant Turkey?
- 25 A. Yeah, in the context of the conversation.

```
1
                 MR. MADEL: I have nothing further, Your Honor.
2
                 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Any redirect,
 3
       Mr. Docherty?
 4
                 MR. DOCHERTY: Some, Your Honor. Thank you.
 5
                          REDIRECT EXAMINATION
       BY MR. DOCHERTY:
 6
 7
       Q. Agent Vinetsky, Mr. Madel was asking you a number of
 8
       questions concerning other people perhaps sending these
 9
       tweets. I want to follow up on some of those.
10
                 Looking at Government Exhibit 1, which is up on
11
       the screen now, looking at the boldface there, whose name is
       in bold on Government Exhibit Number 1?
12
       A. Khalid Abdulkadir.
13
14
       Q. Whose photograph is on Government Exhibit Number 1?
15
       A. Khaalid Abdulkadir.
16
       Q. In direct do you remember me asking you about the
17
       light-faced text on top, "Montana From OeO Retweeted"?
18
       A. Yes.
19
       Q. And you indicated -- correct me if I'm wrong -- that
20
       that meant that this message had already been retweeted,
21
       correct?
2.2
       A. Yes.
23
       Q. And after it had been retweeted once, it could have been
24
       retweeted multiple times, correct?
25
       A. Correct.
```

- 1 Q. The number of retweets does not have anything to do with
- 2 who has access to the original Twitter account; is that
- 3 accurate?
- 4 A. That's accurate.
- 5 Q. No connection between those two concepts at all, is
- 6 there?
- 7 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 8 Q. All right. In terms of attributing this to Khaalid
- 9 Abdulkadir, besides his name and his photograph being on it,
- 10 you testified that his telephone number was sent out later
- 11 | that evening from this account, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And Special Agent Moniz has that screen capture at the
- 14 FBI Office, correct?
- 15 A. Yes, Special Agent Moniz has the screen shot that was
- 16 provided to him through a confidential informant.
- 17 Q. Now, on Thursday morning, the 10th, when you came into
- 18 work, was that the first time you heard about this?
- 19 A. About the threatening tweet messages?
- 20 Q. About the threatening tweet messages, yes.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And so fair to say that sometime during the night these
- 23 messages were taken down, correct?
- 24 A. They were not there Thursday morning.
- 25 | Q. They had been there Wednesday night, they weren't there

- 1 Thursday morning; fair statement?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And also your understanding is that these tweets are
- 4 public, correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, looking at the content of the tweets, which
- 7 | we haven't talked a lot about, but number one, "More brother
- get locked up the cops body they will find on the floor
- 9 body's dropping fast #kill them F B I and fuck as judge,"
- 10 did I read that accurately?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Fair to say that the person who wrote that has some
- anger at law enforcement?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And the judicial system?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | O. And who was it who was in touch with Mohamed Abdullahi
- 18 Hassan, a wanted terrorist suspect, back in January?
- 19 A. Khaalid Abdulkadir.
- 20 Q. Who was it that was in contact with Abdi Nur, also a
- 21 charged terrorist suspect from this district, in May?
- 22 A. Khaalid Abdulkadir.
- Q. And when Khaalid Abdulkadir was arrested on the charge
- 24 | that's got us in court here today -- you were shown Agent
- 25 Green's 302 during cross examination, correct?

A. I was.

1

- 2 Q. And does that 302, that report of Agent Green, indicate
- 3 that Mr. Khaalid Abdulkadir directed profanity at law
- 4 enforcement during his arrest?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 | Q. Was noncompliant with agents' directions?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And was -- well, I don't have it in front of me, but was
- 9 verbally aggressive; would that be a fair characterization?
- 10 A. That would be a fair characterization.
- 11 Q. And so besides his name, his photograph, his telephone
- 12 | number, and the content of the messages, anything else that
- would attribute this to Khaalid Abdulkadir or are those four
- 14 things sufficient in your mind?
- 15 A. They're sufficient.
- 16 MR. DOCHERTY: I have nothing further, Your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: Before you sit down, Mr. Docherty,
- 18 just to be sure the record is clear, you mentioned two folks
- 19 who are -- in your questioning you said they are charged
- 20 with federal terrorism offenses.
- MR. DOCHERTY: Yes.
- 22 THE COURT: I don't believe there's any evidence
- in the record that you've presented that they are. So does
- 24 | this gentleman know that that you can make that as part of
- 25 the record or --

```
1
                                They are part of the record of this
                 MR. DOCHERTY:
2
       court and I can direct the Court to the file numbers.
 3
                 THE COURT: Okay.
 4
                 MR. DOCHERTY: Mohamed Abdullahi Hassan is charged
 5
       with a number of other defendants in Criminal Case
       No. 09-50. I believe the judge's initials, it would still
 6
 7
       be Judge Rosenbaum, but it would have been changed by now to
 8
       Judge Davis and at the time it was Magistrate Judge Susan
 9
       Richard Nelson and I don't believe a new magistrate has been
10
       assigned. That would be taken care of if he is ever brought
11
       before the court.
12
                 The other one, Your Honor, was a complaint
13
       charging Abdi Nur and Abdi Nur is charged by complaint.
14
       When that case went to indictment, because he was not in the
15
       district he was not included in the indictment, but the
16
       indictment in which he is a co-conspirator is No. 15-49 and
17
       the judge's initials are MJD/FLN.
18
                 THE COURT: But there's a separate complaint that
19
       predates the 15-49 indictment?
20
                 MR. DOCHERTY: There is a separate complaint.
                                                                 Ι
21
       don't know that file number off the top of my head, but the
2.2
       defendant's last name is Nur, N-u-r --
23
                 THE COURT: Okay.
24
                 MR. DOCHERTY: -- first name Abdi.
25
                 THE COURT: All right.
```

```
1
                 MR. DOCHERTY: And I would -- if it's necessary
2
       for purposes of the record, I would respectfully ask the
 3
       Court to take judicial notice of its own records as to that
 4
       point.
 5
                 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. You're excused.
 6
       Thank you for coming.
 7
                 MR. MADEL: Your Honor, can I just have a couple
 8
       more questions?
 9
                 THE COURT: Hold on. Keep your seat.
10
                 Come back up because I had a question for you.
11
       your questioning you mentioned that there was -- prior to
12
       the photograph which is Government Exhibit 2, that the
13
       deputy U.S. marshal who took that photograph had previously
14
       been videotaping your client and the other gentlemen.
15
                 MR. MADEL: Correct.
16
                 THE COURT: But, again, there is no evidence in
17
       the record that that happened. It was just in your
18
       question. I want to make sure you're clear that the record
19
       is --
20
                 MR. MADEL: I am, Your Honor, and that's going to
21
       be one of the things that we wanted to get this continuance
2.2
       for.
23
                 THE COURT: Okay. Do you have some more questions
24
       for this guy, though?
25
                 MR. MADEL: I do, just quickly.
```

1 RECROSS EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. MADEL: 3 Q. Mr. Vinetsky, I began by talking about how I'm sure 4 people butcher your name as they butcher my name, but one of 5 the things that I think you and I are both pretty good at is 6 that when we have to say our name over the phone, we have to 7 spell it, right? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And you've become pretty good at spelling your name, as 10 I have, right? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. Now, you testified here that one of the reasons that you 13 connected this kabdulkadir14 account was because, as 14 Mr. Docherty asked you questions, it says, "khalid 15 abdulkadir," right? 16 A. Yes. 17 THE COURT: Just to be clear, Mr. Madel, you're 18 not on the screen. Whatever you're pointing to -- I've got 19 Government Exhibit 1 is --20 MR. DOCHERTY: I've just undone the freeze. THE COURT: There you go. 21 2.2 MR. MADEL: Thank you. BY MR. MADEL: 23 24 The kabdulkadir14, one of the reasons you connected it here is because it says, "khalid abdulkadir" on the account, 25

- 1 right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And showing you here the criminal complaint in this
- 4 case, do you see here where the name khalid is k-h-a-l-i-d
- on the Twitter account, but it's actually spelled with two
- 6 a's?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. So whoever did that Twitter account misspelled
- 9 Mr. Abdulkadir's first name, correct?
- 10 A. That's accurate.
- 11 Q. Now, you were also asked some questions that whoever did
- 12 these tweets has some anger towards law enforcement and the
- 13 judicial system, right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And there's nothing illegal about that, right?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. In fact, there's a lot of attorneys that still have a
- 18 lot of anger towards law enforcement and the judicial
- 19 system, right?
- 20 A. I would assume so.
- 21 Q. And you also were asked some questions that
- 22 Mr. Abdulkadir used some profanity at the time that he was
- 23 being arrested?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Have you ever been arrested?

- 1 A. I have not.
- 2 Q. Do you think it's a stretch of the imagination for
- 3 somebody to be upset if they're being arrested on something
- 4 that they felt they were innocent of?
- 5 A. I don't know, sir. I was never arrested.
- 6 Q. But is it a stretch of your imagination to think that?
- 7 I mean, you were asked if the person here had some anger
- 8 towards law enforcement. You were able to answer that. So
- 9 are you able to answer the question that maybe somebody
- 10 that's innocent of a crime might be upset when they're being
- 11 arrested and somebody comes into their house throwing smoke
- 12 grenades in front of a little boy?
- 13 A. Sir, I don't think my opinion matters on this issue.
- 14 Q. But you do know that smoke grenades were thrown into
- 15 that house, right?
- 16 A. I was not there.
- 17 | O. You weren't there?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. And do you know that there was a little boy that was
- 20 present when that search warrant was executed?
- 21 A. I do not.
- MR. DOCHERTY: Your Honor, I object on the grounds
- 23 of relevance.
- THE COURT: Overruled.
- 25 BY MR. MADEL:

```
1
           You're not aware of that either?
2
       A. I was not.
 3
           That might also give context to why Mr. Abdulkadir might
 4
       have been a little upset in the backyard with respect to
 5
       those agents; fair?
 6
       A. If you say so.
 7
                 MR. MADEL: Thank you.
 8
                 THE COURT: All right.
                                         Thank you. You're
 9
       excused.
10
                 The government rests?
11
                 MR. DOCHERTY: On the issue of probable cause, the
12
       government rests.
13
                 THE COURT: Okay. And on the issue of detention,
14
       you're relying upon the information set forth in the two
15
       Pretrial Service reports we mentioned earlier?
16
                 MR. DOCHERTY: I'm relying on that information and
17
       I'm relying on the circumstances of this offense, the
18
       content of the tweets, all of which go -- the totality of
19
       the circumstances, all of which goes to threat to the
20
       community and to the aspirations --
21
                 THE COURT: Answer my question.
2.2
                 MR. DOCHERTY: I'm sorry.
23
                 THE COURT: Do you have any other evidence to
24
       present?
25
                 MR. DOCHERTY: Oh.
```

1	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
2	MR. DOCHERTY: Sorry.
3	THE COURT: That's okay.
4	Mr. Madel, first of all, I forgot to rule on your
5	request that this whole thing be put off to the South Dakota
6	judges. And that request is denied. If it hasn't been
7	obvious until now, I am proceeding. And I don't read Judge
8	Riley's order as recusing all of the judges in the district,
9	but simply for reasons of the interest of justice
10	reassigning the whole matter to those judges after this
11	detention hearing and preliminary hearing is complete.
12	With that, do you have any evidence you want to
13	present today?
14	MR. MADEL: No, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: All right. So what do you want to
16	present and when do you want to present it?
17	MR. MADEL: Well, can I be heard with respect to
18	probable cause? Because what I'm hoping is that if we hear
19	that, it's not necessary to have a detention hearing.
20	THE COURT: Okay. So you're prepared to argue
21	probable cause?
22	MR. MADEL: Correct.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
24	MR. MADEL: The only charge that my client has
25	been alleged to have violated is 18 U.S.C. 115(a)(1)(B).

2.2

That section states, "Whoever threatens to assault, kidnap, murder a United States official, a United States judge, a federal law enforcement officer, or an official whose killing could be a crime under such section with the intent to impede, intimidate, or interfere with such official, judge, or law enforcement officer while engaged in the performance of official duties or with the intent to retaliate against such official, judge, or law enforcement officer on account of the performance of official duties shall be punished as provided in subsection (b)."

There's two things that are especially relevant there, Your Honor. It says "such official" twice. This was a matter that, Your Honor, we brought up in the <u>United</u>

States vs. Said case where -- and I know that we had a disagreement with Your Honor with respect to that motion to dismiss the indictment. That motion, however, and the bill of particulars was ultimately granted by Judge Piersol in that case in the order filed on September 3, 2015,

Document 67, where he said, "That the motion to dismiss the indictment or for a bill of particulars, Doc. 20, is granted to the extent that as to Count 1" -- and that was 18 U.S.C.

115 -- "the government shall file a bill of particulars stating who was allegedly threatened, how that person was allegedly threatened, and what was stated that allegedly constitutes a threat."

2.2

There is nothing illegal for a person to say, and pardon me for using these words, Your Honor, but fuck the FBI and fuck the U.S. government. There's a lot of my friends that feel that every day. That is not illegal.

And the agent just testified he cannot identify one specific person that was threatened under 115. That's dispositive. If you can't say it -- it says, "such official." If those words are to be given meaning in 115(a)(1)(B), then you have to identify -- as Judge Piersol said, you have to say who it was.

In that case there was a disagreement over whether or not it was the Attorney General or the U.S. Attorney. He said you've got to say who it was. You have to say if it's the U.S. Attorney General or the U.S. Attorney. The same thing is true here and they can't do it.

The idea of what he did here, assuming that he made these tweets, is protected First Amendment speech by saying screw them all. He did not specifically threaten anybody and there's no allegation of a person that he allegedly threatened.

Furthermore, there's nothing in this record whatsoever that this was done as part of an intent to retaliate for the performance of official duties. It's fine under this section to say I hate you, I wish you were dead as long as it doesn't have a connection to trying to get

1 And right here there's been no evidence whatsoever 2 that this was communicated even to the federal government, 3 let alone if any government official was one of his 4 followers. 5 There is zero in this record on this and for that reason I would respectfully request that a probable cause 6 7 determination be made lacking and we don't need to proceed 8 to a detention hearing under Judge Piersol's -- under his 9 ruling. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Docherty. 11 MR. DOCHERTY: That argument is deficient and 12 what's deficient about it is he didn't say screw them. 13 didn't say that he disagreed with them. He said in tweet 14 number one, "kill them F B I and," profanity, "judge." And 15 in tweet number two --16 THE COURT: Hold on. I want to try and understand 17 that one because technically it says, "fuck as judge." 18 MR. DOCHERTY: Yes. 19 THE COURT: F-u-c-k, new word a-s, new word 20 j-u-d-g-e. So is that -- are we interpreting that to be a 21 misspelling of the word "ass," so it's fuck ass judge, or 2.2 fuck as judge? I don't know what that means. 23 MR. DOCHERTY: You know, that's the sort of 24 sophisticated legal question that -- I'm taking it as a 25 misspelling, yes.

```
1
                             Okay.
                 THE COURT:
2
                 MR. DOCHERTY: But it says, "kill them F B I" and
 3
       then in the next tweet it says, "I'm kill them FEDS." This
 4
       isn't First Amendment protected.
 5
                 THE COURT: Who is F.B.? Do we know that? We've
       got also "Fuck them F.B."
 6
 7
                 MR. DOCHERTY: Right.
 8
                 THE COURT: Oh, I see. "F.B.I I'm kill them
 9
       FEDS."
10
                 MR. DOCHERTY: Yes. There's two I's there, but
11
       the period is in the wrong place.
12
                 THE COURT: Okay.
13
                 MR. DOCHERTY: There are threats to kill here and,
14
       yes, it is tied to the performance of official duties by
15
       line 1 of tweet one, "more brother get locked up," and then
16
       it goes on from there, "the cops body they will find on the
17
       floor."
18
                 As to the specificity of the threat, I was not
       involved in the Said case. However, I know that the
19
20
       argument there was what category of person is being talked
21
       about, is it the U.S. Attorney, is it the Attorney General.
2.2
                 It is not necessary -- and if the Court wants, I'd
23
       be happy to submit a short memo on this point, but it is not
24
       necessary to identify by name, rank, and serial number a
25
       specific person. What is necessary is that the threat be
```

1 directed at a person within the scope of the statute, 2 18 U.S.C. 115, which includes both federal law enforcement 3 officers, which certainly includes the FBI, and federal 4 judges. Both of those types of people, those categories of 5 people, are spelled out in the statute. 6 The complaint alleges threats to kill federal law 7 enforcement officers, threats to kill a judge. It alleges 8 the specific intent was done either to interfere with the 9 performance of official duties or to retaliate for the 10 performance of official duties. 11 This complaint is sufficient on its face, probable 12 cause is established on the record, and I would respectfully 13 ask the Court to so rule. Thank you. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else you want to tell 15 me, Mr. Madel? 16 MR. MADEL: Yes, Your Honor. Lacking in the 17 government's argument was the language of the statute. The 18 statute says "a United States official," not en masse, not 19 an agency of the government. It says an official and then 20 it says -- and then it goes down to law enforcement officer, 21 U.S. judge, or an official whose killing would be a crime 2.2 under such section with the intent to impede, intimidate, or 23 interfere with such official, not an agency. 24 Congress knows how to say an agency or a bureau.

And the threat, if one was made by my client, was to a

25

1 And it was feds, which is even broader than one 2 This statute which he is alleged to have violated bureau. 3 talks about a person. The government's argument said 4 nothing, nothing about the statute. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Just to be clear, the standard at the preliminary hearing stage is whether there's probable 6 7 cause to believe the defendant has committed the offense 8 that's alleged in the complaint. 9 And based upon the testimony of the special agent, 10 it appears to me that there is probable cause to believe the 11 defendant, Khaalid Abdulkadir, has committed the offense 12 that's alleged in the complaint, which is a violation of Title 18, United States Code, 115(a)(1)(B) and (b)(4), which 13 14 is -- (b) (4) is just the punishment section, correct? 15 MR. DOCHERTY: Correct, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: And therefore I will order that the 17 matter be referred to the grand jury for further 18 proceedings. 19 In terms of detention, just to be clear, I'm not 20 viewing this as two different hearings. This is a 21 preliminary hearing/detention hearing. The evidence that 2.2 has been presented, while I've ruled on the probable cause 23 piece, is also part of the evidence to be considered on the issue of whether the defendant should be released or 24 25 detained under Section 3142.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And it's my understanding that, Mr. Madel, you have some additional evidence that you wish to present, but that you are not prepared at this time to do that, correct? MR. MADEL: Correct. THE COURT: So tell me what it is you want to present and we'll figure out what to do next. MR. MADEL: Well, what we want to present -- and, again, I first spoke to my client today, so I'm aware from just whispering to my client just as he came in here that some of these witnesses are in the courtroom. And if it pleases the Court, I would like not to reveal too much of my work product with respect to that, but there are some family members that I believe that are going to be relevant and then we are going to do our best in order to try to get some of these people that saw the marshal. And then also the defendant right now is going to college and I wanted to be able to demonstrate that as well to the Court, that he's going to school. And so those witnesses, I'm thinking that all this could be done in probably two to three hours. I don't think it would go much beyond that assuming normal cross examination from the government. THE COURT: Bear with me one moment. (Discussion off the record between the Court and the courtroom deputy.)

1 THE COURT: All right. So here's what we're going 2 We will continue this hearing to Monday, 3 December 21st at 2:00 p.m. Oh, you know what, I haven't 4 checked, though -- Ms. Marshal, can I see you for a moment, 5 please. (Discussion off the record between 6 7 the Court and Marshal Lubinski.) 8 THE COURT: Okay. So we're going to continue this 9 to Monday, December 21st at 2:00 p.m. and I can't tell you 10 where it's going to be. It may be back in this courtroom. 11 It may be down on the 9th floor in my courtroom or some 12 other courtroom. 13 The purpose of the conversation with the Marshal 14 was to determine if given what we know here today, looking 15 at the size of the folks who are interested in being here, 16 finding a place that will accommodate the same quantity of 17 people. 18 So on Monday morning contact my office, Mr. Madel 19 and Mr. Docherty, and we'll tell you where we're going to 20 be. I just don't know right now whether this courtroom is 21 available because it's Judge Tunheim's general work space 2.2 and I don't know what he has scheduled at that date and 23 time. 24 Anything else from the government? 25 MR. DOCHERTY: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

1	THE COURT: Anything else for the defendant?
2	MR. MADEL: Nothing, Your Honor. Thank you.
3	THE COURT: So I found there is probable cause.
4	The matter is referred to the grand jury. The question of
5	detention is still an open item and this hearing is
6	continued for further proceedings on Monday, December 21st
7	at 2:00 p.m. The defendant will be remanded to the custody
8	of the Marshal pending the continued hearing on Monday.
9	We are in recess.
10	(Court adjourned at 4:18 p.m.)
11	* * *
12	
13	
14	
15	I, Lori A. Simpson, certify that the foregoing is a
16	correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
17	above-entitled matter.
18	
19	Certified by: <u>s/ Lori A. Simpson</u>
20	Lori A. Simpson, RMR-CRR
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	